# CS 103: Representation Learning, Information Theory and Control

Lecture 3, Jan 25, 2019



# Seen last time

What is a nuisance for a task?

## How do we design nuisance invariant representations? Invariance, equivariance, canonization

A linear transformation is group equivariant if and only if it is a group convolution (no proof)



2

# **Today's program**

- 1. A linear transformation is group equivariant if and only if it is a group convolution
  - Building equivariant representations for translations, sets and graphs
- 2. Image canonization with equivariant reference frame detector
  - Applications to multi-object detection
- 3. Accurate reference frame detection: the SIFT descriptor
  - A sufficient statistic for visual inertial systems





Canonization

# Invariance by canonization

Idea: Instead of finding an invariant representation, apply a transformation to put the input in a standard form.

 $I(\xi, \nu) \longmapsto g_{\nu-}$ 



$$_{\nu_0} \circ I(\xi, \nu) = I(\xi, \nu_0)$$







# **Canonization for translations**

Suppose we want to canonize the image with respect to translations.

- Decide a reference point that is equivariant for translations. 1.
- **Examples:** The barycenter of the image, the maximum (assuming it's unique) 2. Find the position of the reference point
- 3. Center the reference point



Reference point (minimum)





# **Canonization for translations**

Suppose we want to canonize the image with respect to translations.

- Decide a reference point that is equivariant for translations. 1.
- **Examples:** The barycenter of the image, the maximum (assuming it's unique) 2. Find the position of the reference point
- 3. Center the reference point



Reference point (minimum)





# **Canonization for translations**

Suppose we want to canonize the image with respect to translations.

- Decide a reference point that is equivariant for translations. 1.
- **Examples:** The barycenter of the image, the maximum (assuming it's unique) 2. Find the position of the reference point
- 3. Center the reference point



Reference point (minimum)







# **Equivariant reference frame detector**

- A reference frame detector R for a group G is any function R(x):  $X \rightarrow G$  such that  $R(g \cdot x) = g \cdot R(x)$
- That is, a reference frame detector is any equivariant function from X to G.

**Example:** Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^2$  be the group of translations. Then R(x) = "position of the maximum of x" is a reference frame, assuming the maximum is unique.



# From equivariant frame detector to invariant representations

Proposition. Let R be a reference frame detector for the group G. Define a representation *f(x)* as:

f(x) =

Then f(x) is a G-invariant representation.

$$= R(x)^{-1} \cdot x$$





# From equivariant frame detector to invariant representations

Proposition. Let R be a reference frame detector for the group G. Define a representation f(x) as:

Then f(x) is a G-invariant representation.

Proof:

 $f(g \cdot x) = R(x)$ 

- = (g
- = R
- = R
- = f(x)

$$f(x) = R(x)^{-1} \cdot x$$

$$(g \cdot x)^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x)$$
$$g \cdot R(x))^{-1} \cdot g \cdot x$$
$$(x)^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot x$$
$$(x)^{-1} \cdot x$$





# The canonization pipeline

Canonization consists of the following steps

- 1. Build an equivariant reference frame detector
- 2. Choose a "canonical" reference frame
- 3. Find the reference frame of the input image
- 4. Invert the transformation to make the reference frame canonical











# Some examples of canonization in vision

### **Document analysis:** Find border of the document and un-warp the image prior to analysis. Also: Normalize contrast and illumination



Image from https://blogs.dropbox.com/tech/2016/08/fast-document-rectification-and-enhancement/



build Magic Porket, our in-house multi-evabyte storage system, durability was the requirement that underscored all aspects of the design and implementation. In this post we'll discuss the mechanisms we use to ensure that Magic Pocket constantly maintains its extremely high level of durability.

This post is the second in a multi-part series on the design and implementation of Magic Pocket. If you haven't already read the Magic Pocket design overview go do so now; it's a little long but provides an overview of the architectural features well reference within this post. If you don't have time for that then keep on reading, we'll make this post as accessible as possible to those who are new to the system.

Table-stakes: Replication

When most good engineers hear "durability" they think "replication". Hardware can fail, so you need to store multiple copies of your data on physically isolated hardwara. Replication can be tricky from a mathematical or distributed-systems perspective, but from an operational perspective is the easiest to get right.

In the case of Magic Pocket (MP) we use a variant on Reed-Solomon erasure coding that is similar to Local Reconstruction Codes, which allows us to encode and replicate our data for high durability







#### Eyes move rapidly while looking at a fixed object.



#### Can we consider this a form of translation invariance by canonization?

Video and Images from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saccade

#### Image Trace of saccades









#### Eyes move rapidly while looking at a fixed object.



#### Can we consider this a form of translation invariance by canonization?

Video and Images from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saccade

#### Image Trace of saccades







# The R-CNN model for multi-object detection

Region proposal: find regions of the image that may contain an interesting object (i.e., reference frame proposal)

CNN classifier: warp the region to put it in canonical form (invariance) and feed it to a classifier





1. Input **1mage** 

2. Extract region proposals (~2k)

Region proposal + CNN classifier = R-CNN

Image from Girshick et al., 2014







Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

# and so on.

Originally: hand-crafted proposal mechanisms based on saliency, uniformity of texture, scale,





Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

#### Originally: hand-crafted proposal mechanisms based on saliency, uniformity of texture, scale, and so on.

Illumination invariant colorspace







Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

#### Originally: hand-crafted proposal m and so on.

Illumination invariant colorspace





![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Maddern et al., ICRA 2014

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

#### Originally: hand-crafted proposal mechanisms based on saliency, uniformity of texture, scale, and so on.

Illumination invariant colorspace

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

#### Originally: hand-crafted proposal mechanisms based on saliency, uniformity of texture, scale, and so on.

Illumination invariant colorspace

Initial region proposal

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

Selective Search for Object Recognition, Uijlings et al., 2013

#### Originally: hand-crafted proposal mechanisms based on saliency, uniformity of texture, scale, and so on.

Illumination invariant colorspace

Initial region proposal

Hierarchical clustering

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

$$s(r_i, r_j) = a_1 s_{colour}(r_i, r_j) + a_2 s_{textur}$$
  
$$a_3 s_{size}(r_i, r_j) + a_4 s_{fill}(r_i, r_j)$$

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **CNN** based region proposal Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks, Ren et al., 2016

## Nowadays: The same network does both the region proposal and the classification inside each region

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Spatial Transformer Network** Learning to find and canonize interesting regions of the image

### Can we do something more similar to saccades?

#### Localisation network selects a local reference frame in the image

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Transformer resamples using that reference frame

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

# When precision matters

# The previous methods find a transformation that approximatively canonize an object. But what if we want a very accurate reference frame?

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

# When precision matters

# The previous methods find a transformation that approximatively canonize an object. But what if we want a very accurate reference frame?

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

# When precision matters

# The previous methods find a object. But what if we want a

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Problems

#### Reference frame need to be unique and robust.

#### Due to occlusions, we can only trust local features and need redundancy

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

Need to be robust to all geometric transformations and small deformations.

Need to be robust to changes of illuminations, shadows, ...

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **SIFT: Scale Invariant Feature Transform**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

Image from http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/practicals/instance-recognition/index.html

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

18

#### **SIFT: Finding the scale** Something for you

### Find "interesting points" (*i.e.*, local maxima and minima) at all scales.

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

Done by constructing the scale space of the image and finding the first scale at which a local maximum (minimum) stops being a local maximum (minimum).

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Harris corner detector

that have large eigenvalues of the same magnitude.

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Points along edges are not useful keypoints, as they cannot be localized exactly. Idea: Compute the Hessian at each interesting point. Consider only the points

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

20

# **Find corner orientation**

orientation and find the most frequent orientation.

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### If multiple orientations are very frequent (> 0.8 \* max), select all.

Image from http://aishack.in/tutorials/sift-scale-invariant-feature-transform-keypoint-orientation/

# Decide the orientation of the corner by plotting the histogram of the gradients

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Corner descriptor**

#### Gradient orientation is the only invariant to contrast changes.

#### Idea: Describe local patch around corner using orientations of the gradients.

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Image from http://aishack.in/tutorials/sift-scale-invariant-feature-transform-keypoint-orientation/

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

# The final algorithm (with refinements)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Image from http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/~yu/research/ASIFT/demo.html

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Feature matching in Visual-Inertial SLAM system Robust Inference for Visual-Inertial Sensor Fusion, K. Tsotsos et al., 2015

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

Demo video from https://sites.google.com/site/ktsotsos/visual-inertial-sensor-fusion

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Feature matching in Visual-Inertial SLAM system Robust Inference for Visual-Inertial Sensor Fusion, K. Tsotsos et al., 2015

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Demo video from https://sites.google.com/site/ktsotsos/visual-inertial-sensor-fusion

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Summary

We want something:

- Equivariant to change of scale: search over scale space
- Equivariant to translations: find corners (points in edges and flat region are not localizable exactly)
- Equivariant to rotations: find most frequent gradient orientation Invariant to contrast changes: Use gradient orientation to describe patch

variants: SIFT, ASIFT, DSP-SIFT, SURF, KAZE, AKAZE, ORB, ...)

powerful representation for many complex tasks.

- Put all this requirements together to get the SIFT descriptor (or one of the many
- Take-away: a set of corners with an associated description vector is a surprisingly

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Where are we now

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Action

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Where are we now

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Invariance to simple geometric nuisances, corner detectors, ...

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Action

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)